Migenko Oleksandr, 5-th year student of the Faculty of Medicine, I. Horbachevsky Ternopil National Medical University, Ternopil, Ukraine. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6257-2133.

Bevzyuk Ivan, 6-th year student of the Faculty of Medicine, I. Horbachevsky Ternopil National Medical University, Ternopil, Ukraine. https://orcid.org/0009-0003-7840-3766.

Ruzhytskyi Maksym, 6-th year student of the Faculty of Medicine, I. Horbachevsky Ternopil National Medical University, Ternopil, Ukraine. https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7851-6457.

Migenko Bogdan, PhD, MD, Associate Professor of the Department of Therapy and Family Medicine, I. Horbachevsky Ternopil National Medical University, Ternopil, Ukraine. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2192-7238.

LAPAROSCOPIC VS OPEN APPENDECTOMY: A COMPARATIVE REVIEW OF SURGICAL TECHNIQUES

Abstract

An inflammation of the appendix, is one of the most common causes of acute abdominal pain, often necessitating surgical intervention. The two primary surgical methods for treating appendicitis are open appendectomy and laparoscopic appendectomy. While OA has been the standard approach for many years, recent advancements in minimally invasive techniques have led to the widespread adoption of LA. This comparative analysis aims to evaluate and contrast the clinical outcomes, recovery times, complication rates, and patient satisfaction associated with these two surgical techniques. Laparoscopic appendectomy involves several small incisions through which a camera and surgical instruments are inserted to remove the appendix, offering significant advantages over OA. The main benefits of LA include reduced postoperative pain,

shorter hospital stays, quicker recovery, and smaller scars. Furthermore, LA is associated with fewer complications, such as wound infections and hernia formation, making it an appealing option for many patients. However, LA may not always be feasible in cases of complicated or perforated appendicitis, or when the patient's condition or anatomy complicates the procedure. In such cases, OA remains the procedure of choice, as it allows for more direct access to the appendix and surrounding tissues. Patient satisfaction is another important factor, with many studies reporting higher levels of satisfaction with LA due to its minimally invasive nature. Patients undergoing laparoscopic procedures typically experience less pain and enjoy the cosmetic benefits of smaller scars, contributing to a higher quality of life post-surgery. Conversely, OA, while effective, often results in larger scars, longer recovery periods, and a greater likelihood of postoperative complications, particularly in patients with higher body mass index or pre-existing conditions. The choice between OA and LA should be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the patient's condition, the complexity of the appendicitis, and the surgeon's experience. Both techniques offer effective outcomes, but the decision must be individualized, considering the patient's overall health, the surgical team's capabilities, and the available resources. While LA has become the gold standard for treating uncomplicated appendicitis, OA remains an essential option for complicated cases. Both laparoscopic and open appendectomy are vital in the treatment of appendicitis, each offering distinct advantages depending on the clinical scenario. Ongoing research into improving surgical techniques, postoperative care, and patient management will continue to refine the decision-making process, ensuring optimal outcomes for patients undergoing appendectomy.

Key words: laparoscopic appendectomy, open appendectomy, appendicitis, surgical outcomes, recovery time, complications, patient satisfaction, minimally invasive surgery.

Problem statement

Appendicitis is the inflammation of the appendix, a small, finger-like pouch attached to the cecum of the large intestine [1]. It is one of the most common causes of acute abdominal pain, often necessitating surgical intervention [2]. This condition can lead to serious complications such as perforation, peritonitis, abscess formation, and sepsis, which, if left untreated, can be life-threatening. While the condition predominantly affects younger populations, there is also a significant incidence in both children and the elderly, underscoring the widespread nature of this condition across all age groups [3, 4].

The treatment for appendicitis almost invariably involves surgical intervention. The conventional method for treating appendicitis is open appendectomy, a procedure in which the inflamed appendix is removed through a surgical incision in the lower right abdomen [5]. This traditional approach, while effective, is associated with a longer recovery period, greater postoperative pain, and a higher risk of complications, such as wound infections, hernias, or adhesions [6].

In recent years, however, minimally invasive surgery has become more widely adopted, with laparoscopic appendectomy emerging as the preferred method in many clinical settings [7, 8]. This procedure involves making small incisions through which a camera and surgical instruments are inserted to remove the appendix. Laparoscopy has several advantages over open surgery, including reduced postoperative pain, a shorter recovery time, and a lower risk of complications. The use of laparoscopy allows for a more precise and less invasive operation, which is beneficial in minimizing tissue trauma and accelerating the

patient's return to normal activities [9]. While both traditional and laparoscopic appendectomy are effective, the choice of method depends on various factors, including the patient's clinical condition, the experience of the surgical team, and available medical technology. Laparoscopic surgery, although associated with fewer complications and a quicker recovery, may not always be suitable for patients with complicated or perforated appendicitis, where the open method might still be preferred [10, 11].

The timing of surgery is a critical factor in the management of appendicitis. Delayed treatment can lead to the rupture of the appendix, causing the spread of infection throughout the abdominal cavity, leading to peritonitis, sepsis, and potentially death. Once the appendix ruptures, the likelihood of postoperative complications increases significantly, and the need for more intensive care escalates. Therefore, the early recognition and prompt surgical removal of the appendix are paramount in preventing these serious outcomes [12, 13]. The traditional approach to managing appendicitis, while effective, has been increasingly questioned in light of the significant advances in laparoscopic surgery. However, the emergence of new surgical techniques and diagnostic tools has not diminished the importance of timely intervention. In fact, rapid diagnosis and treatment remain essential to reducing the risk of complications, shortening hospital stays, and improving overall outcomes [14].

Appendicitis remains one of the most frequently encountered surgical emergencies in medical practice. Despite advances in diagnostic tools and surgical techniques, it continues to present a significant challenge for healthcare systems worldwide [15, 16]. As surgery continues to evolve, particularly with the development of laparoscopic and robotic-assisted techniques, there is a need for ongoing research to determine the most appropriate treatment methods for appendicitis, based on individual patient characteristics and clinical scenarios. The comparison of traditional open appendectomy with laparoscopic techniques is a

central issue in contemporary surgical practice. With laparoscopic surgery offering reduced recovery time and lower complication rates, it has become the gold standard in many cases [17]. In certain clinical situations, open appendectomy remains the procedure of choice. For instance, patients with perforated appendicitis or those who are hemodynamically unstable may not be suitable candidates for laparoscopic surgery. As such, determining the optimal surgical approach for each patient remains a key area of interest for researchers and surgeons alike. To evaluating surgical techniques, there is a growing interest in enhancing preoperative and postoperative care to improve patient outcomes. The development of effective strategies for managing pain, reducing the risk of infection, and promoting quicker recovery is essential to advancing the standard of care in appendicitis treatment [18].

Appendicitis continues to be a prevalent and urgent medical condition that requires timely and effective surgical intervention. The ongoing development of minimally invasive surgical techniques, coupled with improved diagnostic and care protocols, has the potential to further enhance patient outcomes. As medical technology and surgical practices evolve, the challenge of optimizing treatment for appendicitis will remain a focal point of research and clinical practice, contributing to the overall improvement of surgical care and patient safety.

Analysis of recent research and publications

Several large-scale comparative analyses consistently indicate that laparoscopic appendectomy is associated with reduced postoperative pain, shorter hospital stays, and faster return to normal activities compared with open appendectomy. For example, Sunil Basukala et al. (2023) cohort study reported that patients undergoing LA experienced significantly less postoperative pain and shorter hospitalization times than those subjected to OA, though overall complication rates were not statistically different between groups [19].

Zhang G. and Wu B. (2022) compare patient recovery after laparoscopic appendectomy (LA) and open appendectomy (OA) to determine the optimal method for diagnosing and treating appendicitis [20]. The aim of the study was to conduct a comprehensive assessment of the clinical efficacy and recovery of patients after both types of surgery. The article presents a meta-analysis based on literature selected from the PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, CNKI, and Wanfang databases for the period from 2010 to 2021. The sample consisted of 16 studies involving a total of 2,149 patients: 1,251 patients received LA and 898 received OA. The article compares various indicators, such as operation time, number of postoperative complications, length of hospitalisation, blood loss during surgery, time to recovery of gastrointestinal function, and levels of white blood cells, neutrophils and C-reactive protein. The results of the meta-analysis showed that laparoscopic surgery has significant advantages over open surgery: fewer postoperative complications, shorter surgery time, less blood loss, faster recovery of gastrointestinal tract function, and shorter hospitalisation period. In addition, patients who underwent LA had significantly lower levels of white blood cells, neutrophils, and C-reactive protein in the postoperative period. All these factors indicate that laparoscopic appendectomy is a safer and more effective procedure for the treatment of acute appendicitis compared to open surgery. It is emphasised that laparoscopic appendectomy is the optimal method of treating acute appendicitis due to its advantages, including fewer complications, shorter surgery and hospitalisation times, and faster patient recovery. The article also notes that further research, particularly on postoperative pain and patient recovery time, would be useful to definitively determine the advantages of this method compared to open surgery.

Ohtani H. et al. (2012) compared the results of laparoscopic and open surgery in the treatment of acute appendicitis by conducting a meta-analysis of the results of randomised controlled trials [21]. The meta-analysis included 39 articles containing data from 5,896 patients. According to the results, laparoscopic surgery

has several advantages over open surgery, including shorter hospital stays, reduced need for pain medication, and a faster return to normal activity. However, laparoscopic surgery takes longer than open surgery, as was found in this study. The article concludes that laparoscopic surgery may be the standard of care for acute appendicitis.

Amin M. and Boules M. analyses the comparison of the effectiveness of laparoscopic and open appendectomy in the context of postoperative complications, particularly wound infections, in elderly patients [22]. The aim of the study is to assess how the two surgical methods affect the rate of postoperative infections in elderly patients. The study methodology included a meta-analysis of six studies conducted between 2004 and 2021, involving a total of 42,675 patients. Among them, 15,486 underwent laparoscopic surgery and 27,189 underwent open appendectomy. The results showed that laparoscopic surgery had significantly lower rates of wound infections ($p = 0.001$) and intra-abdominal abscesses ($p = 0.001$) compared to open surgery. The study concludes that laparoscopic appendectomy is a safer procedure for elderly patients because it reduces the risk of postoperative complications, such as infections and abscesses, compared to traditional open surgery. However, the authors note that the studies have certain limitations due to the small number of included works, and therefore the accuracy of their results should be taken into account.

Purpose of the Article

The purpose of this article is to summarize and synthesize current scientific data regarding the comparative analysis of laparoscopic and open appendectomy techniques. To achieve this goal, a systematic review of the existing scientific literature was conducted, focusing on recent publications from international bibliometric databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar, Web of Science, and others. The search queries included key terms in English, such as "laparoscopic

appendectomy", "open appendectomy", "surgical outcomes", "postoperative recovery", "complications", "minimally invasive surgery", "surgical techniques comparison", "appendicitis treatment", "laparoscopic vs open surgery", "postoperative pain management", "hospital stay duration", "surgical complication rates", "benefits of laparoscopic surgery", "traditional appendectomy", "postoperative infection rates", "wound healing after surgery", "laparoscopic surgery advantages", "appendectomy methods", "healthcare cost comparison", "patient satisfaction with surgery", "operative time", "surgical skill and experience", "robot-assisted surgery in appendectomy", "laparoscopic appendectomy for perforated appendicitis", "laparoscopy in emergency surgery", "early recovery after appendectomy", "advanced surgical techniques in appendicitis", "clinical outcomes in appendectomy", and "surgical complications in appendicitis."

Presentation of the main material of the study

The study examines the comparative effectiveness of two primary surgical approaches to treating appendicitis: laparoscopic appendectomy and open appendectomy. The main goal of this research is to evaluate and contrast these two techniques across various clinical outcomes, such as surgical time, postoperative complications, recovery time, hospital stay duration, and patient satisfaction. This comparative analysis is essential in determining which method offers superior clinical benefits, particularly in light of the rapid advancements in minimally invasive surgical technologies.

Laparoscopic appendectomy, also known as minimally invasive surgery (MIS), involves the removal of the appendix through several small incisions, typically using a camera and specialized instruments. This technique offers several advantages, including smaller scars, less postoperative pain, reduced risk of infection, and faster recovery times [23]. These benefits are especially significant

for patients, as shorter hospital stays and quicker return to normal activities reduce both personal and healthcare costs. Additionally, the precision of laparoscopic techniques allows for enhanced visualization of the abdominal cavity, which can be beneficial in cases of complicated appendicitis, such as perforation or abscess formation [24]. On the other hand, open appendectomy, the traditional method, involves a larger incision and is often the go-to procedure in cases where complications are present, such as perforated appendicitis or when laparoscopic surgery is not feasible due to the patient's condition [25]. While open appendectomy has a longer recovery period and carries a higher risk of postoperative complications, including infection and hernia formation at the incision site, it remains a reliable option for patients with certain complicating factors [26]. The open technique also allows for more direct access to the appendix and surrounding tissue, which can be critical in challenging cases.

Complication rates are another crucial point of comparison. Laparoscopic appendectomy generally has lower rates of wound infections and hernias, but there are risks associated with the procedure, such as injury to surrounding organs and complications related to the use of carbon dioxide gas during surgery[27]. In contrast, open appendectomy tends to be associated with higher rates of wound infections, particularly in patients with a higher body mass index or those who have undergone surgery in the presence of peritonitis.

The skill level of the surgeon plays a critical role in the outcomes of both procedures. While laparoscopic surgery offers numerous benefits, it also requires a high level of expertise and experience. In hospitals where laparoscopic training and resources are not as readily available, open appendectomy may remain the preferred option. Therefore, the availability of trained personnel, equipment, and institutional support is an important factor in determining the best surgical approach [28, 29, 30, 31].

Patient satisfaction and quality of life are also key areas addressed in this study. Research suggests that patients undergoing laparoscopic appendectomy report higher levels of satisfaction due to the less invasive nature of the surgery, quicker recovery times, and the cosmetic advantage of smaller scars. However, in certain cases, especially when the appendicitis is complicated or when the patient's condition does not allow for minimally invasive surgery, open appendectomy may be the only viable option.The choice between laparoscopic and open appendectomy must be made based on a thorough evaluation of the patient's clinical condition, the surgeon's expertise, and the available surgical resources. Both techniques have distinct advantages and limitations, and the decision should be tailored to each individual case, considering the potential for complications, the patient's overall health, and their ability to recover in a timely manner.

Conclusion

Both laparoscopic and open appendectomy have distinct advantages and are vital in the treatment of appendicitis. Laparoscopic appendectomy is preferred for its faster recovery, reduced postoperative pain, and shorter hospital stays, making it the standard for uncomplicated cases. However, open appendectomy remains crucial in complicated cases, such as perforated appendicitis, or when laparoscopic surgery is not feasible due to patient conditions or technical limitations. The choice between the two methods should be individualized, considering factors like the patient's health, the complexity of the appendicitis, and the surgeon's expertise. Both techniques have proven efficacy, and ongoing research will continue to refine their application to ensure the best outcomes for patients.

References

1. Salminen, P., Haijanen, J., Minneci, P. C., Davidson, G. H., Boermeester, M. A., Livingston, E., Andersson, R. E., Lee, K. H., & Flum, D. (2025). Appendicitis. Nature reviews. Disease primers, 11(1), 79. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-025-00659-6

2. Constantin, M., Petrescu, L., Mătanie, C., Vrancianu, C. O., Niculescu, A. G., Andronic, O., & Bolocan, A. (2023). The Vermiform Appendix and Its Pathologies. Cancers, 15(15), 3872. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15153872

3. Quiros-Roldan, E., Sottini, A., Natali, P. G., & Imberti, L. (2024). The Impact of Immune System Aging on Infectious Diseases. Microorganisms, 12(4), 775. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12040775

4. Ibarz, M., Haas, L. E. M., Ceccato, A., & Artigas, A. (2024). The critically ill older patient with sepsis: a narrative review. Annals of intensive care, 14(1), 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-023-01233-7

5. Paajanen, H., Grönroos, J. M., Rautio, T., Nordström, P., Aarnio, M., Rantanen, T., Hurme, S., Dean, K., Jartti, A., Mecklin, J. P., Sand, J., & Salminen, P. (2013). A prospective randomized controlled multicenter trial comparing antibiotic therapy with appendectomy in the treatment of uncomplicated acute appendicitis (APPAC trial). BMC surgery, 13, 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2482-13-3

6. Weledji, E. P., Zisuh, A. V., & Ngounou, E. (2023). Management of appendicitis: appendicectomy, antibiotic therapy, or both?. Annals of medicine and surgery (2012), 85(4), 897–901. https://doi.org/10.1097/MS9.0000000000000401

7. Migenko, B., Palamar, S., Krycky, I., Hoshchynskyi, P., Khlibovska, O., & Drosyk, M. (2025). Clinical, educational, and ethical dimensions of laparoscopic surgery. Prospects and Innovations of Science, Series "Medicine", 6(52), 2024-2038. https://doi.org/10.52058/2786-4952-2025-6(52)-2024-2038

8. Hoshchynskyi, P. V., Migenko, B. O., Drosyk, M. M., Mykolenko, A. Z., Golovata, T. K., Dzhyvak, V. H., & Vastyanov, R. S. (2025). Analysis of benefits and challenges in minimally invasive pediatric surgery based on the PRISMA methodology. World of Medicine and Biology, 3(93), 220-227. https://doi.org/10.26724/2079-8334-2025-3-93-220-227

9. Ardila, C. M., & González-Arroyave, D. (2024). Precision at scale: Machine learning revolutionizing laparoscopic surgery. World journal of clinical oncology, 15(10), 1256–1263. https://doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v15.i10.1256

10. Kishore, J., Purkayastha, S. K. (2025). Comparative evaluation on laparoscopic versus open appendicectomy. European Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine, 15(4), 955-957. https://doi.org/10.61336/ejcm/25-04-156

11. Neogi, S., Banerjee, A., Panda, S. S., Ratan, S. K., & Narang, R. (2022). Laparoscopic versus open appendicectomy for complicated appendicitis in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of pediatric surgery, 57(3), 394–405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2021.07.005

12. Quevedo-Fernandez, E., Gonzalez-Urquijo, M., Hinojosa-Gonzalez, D. E., Morales-Flores, L. F., Morales-Morales, C. A., Zambrano-Lara, M., Guajardo-Nieto, D., & Rodarte-Shade, M. (2023). Analysis of deferral times in patients diagnosed with acute appendicitis. Asian journal of surgery, 46(3), 1187–1192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asjsur.2022.08.053

13. Zeringotha, M. V. C., Oliveira, L. F. P., Nunes, B. C., Chales, E. da S., & Lôbo, I. M. O. (2025). Clinical management strategies for acute appendicitis: A comparative review of conservative and surgical approaches. International Health Sciences Review, 1(3), 57-67. https://doi.org/10.70164/ihsr.v1i3.17

14. Guercio, G., Augello, G., Licari, L., Dafnomili, A., Raspanti, C., Bagarella, N., Falco, N., Rotolo, G., Fontana, T., Porello, C., & Gulotta, G. (2016). Acute appendicitis: should the laparoscopic approach be proposed as the

gold standard? Six-year experience in an Emergency Surgery Unit. Il Giornale di chirurgia, 37(4), 174–179. https://doi.org/10.11138/gchir/2016.37.4.174

15. Aljanib, A. M. A., Alshammari, F. F., Alshammari, F. M., Alqahtani, A. A., Alsaif, B., Alcantara, J. C., Alshammari, A. B. A., & Alharazi, T. (2025). High Diagnostic Accuracy but Persistent Risk of Complicated Appendicitis: A Retrospective Analysis from Hail Province, Saudi Arabia. International journal of applied & basic medical research, 15(2), 85–90. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijabmr.ijabmr_529_24

16. Dahiya, D. S., Akram, H., Goyal, A., Khan, A. M., Shahnoor, S., Hassan, K. M., Gangwani, M. K., Ali, H., Pinnam, B. S. M., Alsakarneh, S., Canakis, A., Sheikh, A. B., Chandan, S., & Sohail, A. H. (2024). Controversies and Future Directions in Management of Acute Appendicitis: An Updated Comprehensive Review. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 13(11), 3034. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13113034

17. Nikolov, N. K., Reimer, H. T., Sun, A., Bunnell, B. D., & Merhavy, Z. I. (2024). Open versus Laparoscopic Appendectomy: A Literature Review. Journal of Mind and Medical Sciences, 11(1), 4-9. https://doi.org/10.22543/2392-7674.1472

18. Jain, Y., Lanjewar, R., Lamture, Y., & Bawiskar, D. (2023). Evaluation of Different Approaches for Pain Management in Postoperative General Surgery Patients: A Comprehensive Review. Cureus, 15(11), e48573. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.48573

19. Basukala, S., Thapa, N., Bhusal, U., Shrestha, O., Karki, S., Regmi, S. K., Shah, K. B., & Shah, A. (2023). Comparison of outcomes of open and laparoscopic appendectomy: A retrospective cohort study. Health science reports, 6(8), e1483. https://doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.1483

20. Zhang, G., & Wu, B. (2022). Meta-analysis of the clinical efficacy of laparoscopic appendectomy in the treatment of acute appendicitis. World Journal of Emergency Surgery, 17, 26. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-022-00431-1

21. Ohtani, H., Tamamori, Y., Arimoto, Y., Nishiguchi, Y., Maeda, K., & Hirakawa, K. (2012). Meta-analysis of the results of randomized controlled trials that compared laparoscopic and open surgery for acute appendicitis. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 16(10), 1929-1939. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11605-012-1972-9

22. Amin, M. A., & Boules, M. E. (2024). The effect of laparoscopy versus open appendectomy on wound infection in elderly subject: A meta-analysis. International Journal of Clinical Medical Research, 2(5), 39. doi:10.61466/ijcmr2050004

23. Gray, K. D., Burshtein, J. G., Obeid, L., Moore, M. D., Dakin, G., Pomp, A., & Afaneh, C. (2018). Laparoscopic Appendectomy: Minimally Invasive Surgery Training Improves Outcomes in Basic Laparoscopic Procedures. World journal of surgery, 42(6), 1706–1713. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-017-4374-z

24. Leandri, M., Vallicelli, C., Santandrea, G., Perrina, D., Bravi, F., Sartelli, M., Coccolini, F., Ansaloni, L., Agnoletti, V., & Catena, F. (2025). Postoperative Infections After Appendectomy for Acute Appendicitis: The Surgeon's Checklist. Antibiotics, 14(9), 954. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics14090954

25. Katkhouda, N., Mason, R. J., Towfigh, S., Gevorgyan, A., & Essani, R. (2005). Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy: a prospective randomized double-blind study. Annals of surgery, 242(3), 439–450. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000179648.75373.2f

26. Dobel, A. A., Alkhaldi, N. A., Alkharashi, A. A., Aljamaan, N. H., & Mahfouz, M. E. M. (2024). Postoperative Complications Following

Appendectomy: A Single-Center Retrospective Study. Cureus, 16(9), e70219. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.70219

27. Khan, M. N., Fayyad, T., Cecil, T. D., & Moran, B. J. (2007). Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy: the risk of postoperative infectious complications. JSLS : Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons, 11(3), 363–367.

28. Torricelli, F. C., Barbosa, J. A., & Marchini, G. S. (2016). Impact of laparoscopic surgery training laboratory on surgeon's performance. World journal of gastrointestinal surgery, 8(11), 735–743. https://doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v8.i11.735

29. Ogbonnaya, C. N., Li, S., Tang, C., Zhang, B., Sullivan, P., Erden, M. S., & Tang, B. (2025). Exploring the Role of Artificial Intelligence (AI)-Driven Training in Laparoscopic Suturing: A Systematic Review of Skills Mastery, Retention, and Clinical Performance in Surgical Education. Healthcare, 13(5), 571. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare13050571

30. Shaikh, A. R., Sangrasi, A. K., & Shaikh, G. A. (2009). Clinical outcomes of laparoscopic versus open appendectomy. JSLS : Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons, 13(4), 574–580. https://doi.org/10.4293/108680809X1258998404524

31. Sermonesi, G., Tian, B. W. C. A., Vallicelli, C., Abu-Zidan, F. M., Damaskos, D., Kelly, M. D., Leppäniemi, A., Galante, J. M., Tan, E., Kirkpatrick, A. W., Khokha, V., Romeo, O. M., Chirica, M., Pikoulis, M., Litvin, A., Shelat, V. G., Sakakushev, B., Wani, I., Sall, I., Fugazzola, P., … Catena, F. (2023). Cesena guidelines: WSES consensus statement on laparoscopic-first approach to general surgery emergencies and abdominal trauma. World journal of emergency surgery : WJES, 18(1), 57. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-023-00520-9